Introduction to Business Costs in Iceland

A Comparative Study of 87 Cities in Europe, North America and Japan



🌃 Landsbanki Íslands



| CANADA | | UNITED KINGDOM | ITALY | NETHERLANDS |
|--------|-------|----------------|-------|-------------|
| 14.1% | 13.0% | 12.6% | 10.5% | 9.0% |

The Research Project

"Business Costs in Iceland" is a comprehensive guide for comparing business costs in Iceland to those in North America, Europe, and Japan. That KPMG report measures the combined impact of 27 cost components that are most likely to vary by location, as applied to specific business operations. The report has been produced by using the proprietary KPMG CCM-2002 Costing Model, which was developed by MMK Consulting for the January 2002 publication "Competitive Alternatives". That 10-month research programme covered cities throughout Austria, France, Italy, Germany, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Canada, the United States, and Japan. More than 1,000 individual business scenarios were examined and more than 30,000 items of data analysed. The basis for comparison is the after-tax cost of startup and operation for 12 specific types of business, over a 10-year time horizon.

For the purpose of the "Business Costs in Iceland" study, two additional operations were examined - server farms and medical devices - in addition to the standard 12 operations modelled in the Competitive Alternatives January 2002 publication.

"Business Costs in Iceland" is the result of an eight-month joint research project conducted from October 2001 until April 2002 by KPMG Consulting in Iceland and MMK Consulting.

Costs by Industry Sector

Results for the standard 12 business operations modelled form the basis for comparing major industry sectors as well as individual industries. Results for these 12 operations, by industry sector, plus the two additional operations, are shown overleaf.

Cost Components

Labour is a key location-sensitive component, averaging 59 percent of location-sensitive costs for manufacturing and 81 percent for non-manufacturing operations. Total labour costs, including wages and salaries, statutory plans, and other benefits, are lowest in Canada, lceland, the United Kingdom, Italy, and the Netherlands.

Taxes represent the second largest location-sensitive cost. On average, effective corporate income tax rates are lowest in Iceland, Canada, and the United Kingdom. Property-based taxes are lowest in Austria and the Netherlands.

Transportation costs represent 2 to 14 percent of costs for the manufacturing operations examined. Transportation costs-to-market are lowest in the Netherlands for road, sea, and air freight.

Energy costs represent 2 to 8 percent of costs for the manufacturing operations examined. Iceland offers the lowest electricity costs, while natural gas costs are lowest in France. For manufacturing, industrial *land* costs are lowest in Iceland, France, and the United States, while building *construction* costs are lowest in Italy and Canada. For non-manufacturing operations, *leasing* costs for suburban office space are lowest in Italy, Austria, France, and Iceland.

Results for Iceland

As is demonstrated above, the overall results are positive for Iceland, as it is the lowest-cost country in Europe. Particular strengths include software, research & development operations, three manufacturing operations and the operation of server farms. Particular weaknesses are in some manufacturing operations like food processing and plastic products mainly due to high transportation costs. Iceland benefits from low energy costs, low labour costs, (especially in skilled labour), low land acquisition costs, and a favourable corporate tax structure. Due to its geographical position, Iceland has high transport costs and telecommunication costs.

The results for Iceland in the two additional operations are very positive. The server farm operation is energy- and capital-intensive, and its work force consists mainly of skilled technicians. These factors, in addition to limited transport requirements, work in Iceland's favour. The medical devices operation depends heavily on skilled labour; this factor, in addition to the beneficial corporate tax structure, works in favour of Iceland.

It is important to note, however, that recent developments in exchange rates have weakened the competitive position of Iceland while strengthening the comparative position of the US against other participating countries. The impact of exchange rate changes can be examined in detail online at <u>www.CompetitiveAlternatives.com</u> by registered users.



| FRANCE | AUSTRIA | UNITED STATES | GERMANY | JAPAN |
|--------|---------|---------------|---------|--------|
| | | | | |
| 7.6% | 6.1% | 0.0% | -1.9% | -17.8% |

International Results

Canada (CA) is the overall cost leader, i.e. has the lowest cost structure, with a cost index of 85.9, representing a 14.1 percent cost advantage over the United States (US = 100.0).

Iceland (IS, 87.0) is ranked second overall, closely behind the cost leader, Canada, with costs 13.0 percent lower than those in the US, making Iceland the cost leader in Europe.

The United Kingdom (UK, 87.4), with costs 12.6 percent lower than those in the US, is ranked third overall.

Italy (IT, 89.5) has improved its 1999 cost position against every other G7 country, due in part to significant reductions in employer costs for legally required employee benefits.

The Netherlands (NL, 91.0) ranks in fifth place, ahead of *France* (FR, 92.4).

Austria (AT, 93.9) has a cost structure similar to that in France; it has a significant cost advantage over neighbouring *Germany* (DE, 101.9).

The relative cost position of the *United States* (US, 100.0) has declined since 1999 due to the appreciation of the US dollar against major global currencies.

As in 1999, *Japan* (JP, 117.8) has the highest business cost structure among the countries examined in this study.

Cost Trends, 1999 - 2002

The competitiveness of all countries in the Euro currency zone has improved significantly due to the decline of the euro (EUR) against the US dollar (USD) since early 1999. Most European countries now rank ahead of the US in cost competitiveness. Other major currencies have also weakened relative to the US dollar, allowing all other countries to improve their cost positions compared to the United States.

The Icelandic krona (ISK) is pegged to a basket of eight currencies and is weighed annually with respect to the share of each country's currency in the trade of goods and services. The krona floats freely relative to a calculated inverse index of these currencies. In March 2001, the Central Bank of Iceland adopted an inflation target for the krona and abandoned a policy of stable exchange rates. Traditionally, volatility has been rather low in the past few years. However, oscillations have increased with the introduction of the new monetary regime. A gradual weakening of the krona was also witnessed which peaked in November but has since appreciated somewhat. At precent, the krona seems to have stabilised.

Regarding other cost factors, trends have been downward in some cost areas. European utility and telecommunications costs have dropped due to deregulation. In addition, many jurisdictions have implemented sizeable tax reductions since 1999.





BUSINESS OPERATIONS MODELLED IN THE STUDY

Manufacturing

Metal components Plastic products Food processing Electronic assembly Precision components Pharmaceuticals Specialty chemicals

Software

Advanced software Content development

R&D

Biomedical R&D Electronic systems development and testing

Corporate services Shared service centre

Additional operations

Medical devices Server farms

STUDY CONTACTS

Copies of the full report can be downloaded from <u>www.kpmg.is</u> or <u>www.invest.is</u> or purchased in printed format from KPMG Iceland. Access to the CCM-2002 Costing Model can be obtained via KPMG Iceland.

For further information about this study and its results, visit <u>www.CompetitiveAlternatives.com</u>, or contact any of the individuals listed below.

Ingvi Thor Ellidason Phone: +354 545 6267 Fax: +354 545 6202

Fax: +354 545 6202 E-mail: iellidason@kpmg.com

Unnar Hermannsson

Phone: +354 545 6240 Fax: +354 545 6202 E-mail: uhermannsson@kpmg.com

Gudbjorn Arnason

Phone: +354 545 6274 Fax: +354 545 6202 E-mail: garnason@kpmg.com